

Relationships between Otolith Size and Body Size for Hawaiian Reef Fishes¹

*Ken Longenecker*²

Abstract: Estimating body size of fishes from remains recovered from piscivores, archaeological sites, and sedimentary deposits is desirable but rarely accomplished because the relationships between the size of a fish and its durable anatomical structures are largely unknown. Regression equations to predict the size or weight of 41 common Hawaiian reef fishes from sagittae (saccular otoliths) are presented. Data are also grouped into higher taxa to permit size predictions when otoliths cannot be assigned to species.

ANIMAL REMAINS ARE frequently used to reconstruct faunal assemblages in dietary analysis, archaeology, geology, and paleontology. This work on fishes is difficult because bones and scales can be hard to identify, their numbers may vary among individuals, and the relationship between the size of a bone or scale and the size of the fish that produced it may be unknown.

Otoliths, particularly sagittae or saccular otoliths, may be used to circumvent these problems because they are taxonomically distinct and the number per individual does not vary. Further, they are harder and more durable than skeletal components and are often

the only identifiable remains found in geological strata (Rivaton and Bourret 1999). Otoliths are common fossils throughout broad geographic and stratigraphic ranges (Hecht 1990), may be the only evidence that a fish species was present at archaeological sites (Weisler 2002), and are often the only identifiable fish remains found in the stomachs or feces of predators (Hecht 1990). Finally, the size of otoliths can be used to estimate fish size, and a fair number of these relationships have been described for fishes worldwide (Frost and Lowry 1981, Echevierria 1987, Gamboa 1991, Plötz et al. 1991, Smale et al. 1995, Granadeiro and Silva 2000, Harvey et al. 2000, Mikkelsen et al. 2002, Naya et al. 2002, Waessle et al. 2003).

No large-scale analysis of otolith-fish size relationships has been conducted for Hawaiian fishes. With 1,250 species of which 22.3% of coastal species are endemic (Mundy 2005), this information is needed for research on resource use by early Hawaiians, dietary analysis of piscivores, and reconstruction of ancient marine environments. Here I present analyses of the relationship between otolith length and fish length or weight. These results complement a guide to the identification of Hawaiian fish otoliths (Dye and Longenecker 2004).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fishes were collected from May 2000 through September 2002 from the forereef of Kāneʻohe Bay. Collecting area boundaries were the 5.5 m isobath shoreward (parallel to

¹ This paper is funded in part by a grant/cooperative agreement from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Project no. R/FM-8, which is sponsored by the University of Hawai'i Sea Grant College Program, SOEST, under Institutional Grants No. NA86RG0041 and NA16RG2254 from NOAA Office of Sea Grant, Department of Commerce. The views expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of NOAA or any of its subagencies. UNIHISEAGRANT-JC-02-32. NOAA Fisheries, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center supported preparation of the manuscript. This is Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology contribution no. 1297 and contribution 2007-014 of the Hawai'i Biological Survey. Manuscript accepted 28 November 2007.

² Hawai'i Institute of Marine Biology, P.O. Box 1346, Kāneʻohe, Hawai'i 96744. Current address: Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817 (e-mail: klongenecker@bishopmuseum.org).

the barrier reef), the 30.5 m isobath seaward (the bottom of a drop-off at the mouth of the bay), and boating channels laterally. All specimens were identified, then standard length (in millimeters) and total body weight (in grams) were measured. Sagittae were removed and stored dry in tissue culture plates, with one species per plate and one individual per well. One otolith per fish was haphazardly chosen (or the lone otolith if one was broken or missing) and measured with digital calipers along the rostrum to postrostrum axis (nomenclature of Secor et al. [1992]).

Least squares regression analysis of otolith length versus standard length and otolith length versus total body weight was performed for each species. Data were also combined to search for the same relationships within all higher taxa. Equations are presented only for regressions with $P < .5$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Standard length can be modeled as a linear function of otolith length (Appendix 1), and total body weight can be predicted using a power function of otolith length (Appendix 2). For all but two cases, the otolith-weight relationships could be modeled with two parameters. The exceptions were three-parameter power functions.

Both appendixes are organized phylogenetically. Species included in supraspecific analyses are either annotated at the end of the appendix (for space considerations) or listed parenthetically adjacent to taxon name if no significant relationship was found for that species alone. Species with significant otolith-fish size relationships are listed along with their regression equations below the supraspecific taxon heading. For example, results for the length regression of the subfamily Pomacentrinae (Appendix 1) include four species: *Stegastes marginatus*, which did not yield a significant regression on its own, listed parenthetically beside the subfamily name; *Abudefduf abdominalis*, which did have a significant relationship, listed below the subfamily name; and *Plectroglyphidodon imparipennis* and *P. johnstonianus*, indicated by an

annotation to the generic name. A significant regression could not be constructed for either species alone; however, a genus-level relationship was significant.

This phylogenetic grouping serves three purposes. First, it can be used to predict the size of a fish from otoliths larger or smaller than those used in the analyses. Although linear relationships with high coefficients of determination, such as many of those in Appendix 1, might reasonably be used for extrapolation, doing so with curvilinear relationships (Appendix 2) is likely to provide unrealistic estimates. Using a relationship for a higher taxon, based on a wider size range of individuals, may help avoid the need for extrapolation. Second, because otoliths are more easily assigned to higher taxa than to species, the groupings provide reasonable predictions of fish size when a species-level identification of an otolith is not feasible. The more-general higher-taxon regressions should be used in such cases. Third, these groups also provide predictions for species not included in the analysis. With 1,250 fishes known from Hawai'i, the equations presented here represent just a fraction of the work necessary for detailed reconstruction of fish assemblages. In the interim, these higher-taxon relationships may suffice for predicting fish sizes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Annmarie Dehn, Ross Langston, and Joanna Philippoff for donating or helping collect many of the specimens; and Klahzia Longenecker for assisting with otolith extractions.

Literature Cited

- Dye, T. S., and K. R. Longenecker. 2004. Manual of Hawaiian fish remains identification based on the skeletal reference collection of Alan C. Ziegler and including otoliths. Society for Hawaiian Archaeology, Honolulu.
- Echevierria, T. W. 1987. Relationship of oto-

- lith length to total length in rockfishes from northern and central California. Fish. Bull. 85:383–387.
- Frost, K. J., and L. F. Lowry. 1981. Trophic importance of some marine gadids in northern Alaska and their body-otolith size relationships. Fish. Bull. 79:187–192.
- Gamboa, D. A. 1991. Otolith size versus weight and body-length relationships for eleven fish species of Baja California, Mexico. Fish. Bull. 89:701–706.
- Granadeiro, J. P., and M. A. Silva. 2000. The use of otoliths and vertebrae in the identification and size-estimation of fish in predator-prey studies. Cybium 24:383–393.
- Harvey, J. T., T. R. Loughlin, M. A. Perez, and D. S. Oxman. 2000. Relationship between fish size and otolith length for 63 species of fishes from the eastern North Pacific Ocean. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 150.
- Hecht, T. 1990. Otoliths: An introduction to their morphology and use in the identification of Southern Ocean fishes. Pages 64–69 in O. Gon and P. C. Heemstra, eds. Fishes of the Southern Ocean. J. L. B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology, Grahamstown, South Africa.
- Mikkelsen, B., T. Haug, and K. T. Nilssen. 2002. Summer diet of grey seals (*Halichoerus grypus*) in Faroese waters. Sarsia 87:462–471.
- Mundy, B. C. 2005. Checklist of the fishes of the Hawaiian Archipelago. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
- Naya, D. E., M. Arim, and R. Vargas. 2002. Diet of South American fur seals (*Arctophalus australis*) in Isla de Lobos, Uruguay. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 18:734–745.
- Plötz, J., W. Ekau, and P. J. H. Reijnders. 1991. Diet of Weddell seals *Leptonychotes weddellii* at Vestkapp, eastern Weddell Sea (Antarctica), in relation to local food supply. Mar. Mamm. Sci. 7:136–144.
- Rivaton, J., and P. Bourret. 1999. *Les otolithes des poissons de l'Indo-Pacifique*. Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Nouméa.
- Secor, D., J. Dean, and E. H. Laban. 1992. Otolith removal and preparation for microstructural examination. Pages 19–57 in D. K. Stevenson and S. E. Campana, eds. Otolith microstructure examination and analysis. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 117.
- Smale, M. J., G. Watson, and T. Hecht. 1995. Otolith atlas of southern African marine fishes. J. L. B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology, Grahamstown, South Africa.
- Waessle, J. A., C. A. Lasta, and M. Favero. 2003. Otolith morphology and body size relationships for juvenile Scianidae in the Rio de la Plata estuary (35–36° S). Sci. Mar. 67:233–240.
- Weisler, M. I. 2002. New fish records from Oundjo (Site 26), La Grande Terre: Further contributions of otoliths. Pages 207–211 in S. Bedford, C. Sand, and D. Burley, eds. Fifty years in the field: Essays in honour and celebration of Richard Shutler Jr.'s archaeological career. New Zealand Archaeological Association, Wellington.

Appendix 1

Results of Linear Regression of Otolith Length (OL) versus Standard Length (SL), in millimeters [$SL = a + b(OL)$]

Taxon	<i>n</i>	Range (mm)	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²
Anguilliformes					
Muraenidae					
<i>Gymnothorax</i> ^a	17	1.48–6.03	–152.2564	191.6527	0.89
Aulopiformes					
Synodontidae					
<i>Synodus</i> ^b	4	0.62–1.09	–8.1623	54.6525	0.96
Beryciformes					
Holocentridae (<i>Myripristis berndti</i>)	27	3.95–9.66	58.3229	11.2756	0.77
<i>Sargocentron</i> ^c	16	3.95–8.85	13.4202	20.4562	0.81
<i>Myripristis kuntze</i>	8	7.34–9.66	80.4908	8.5006	0.57

Appendix 1 (continued)

Taxon	<i>n</i>	Range (mm)	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²
Gasterosteiformes					
Syngnathoidei (<i>Fistularia commersonii</i>)	15	1.76–4.44	21.3819	185.1548	0.74
<i>Aulostomus chinensis</i>	13	1.76–2.47	–139.8717	264.7553	0.53
Scorpaeniformes					
Scorpaenidae					
<i>Scorpaenodes (birsutus)</i>	34	1.17–3.00	–0.9014	13.3501	0.91
<i>kelloggi</i>	28	1.17–2.47	–1.1135	13.5516	0.95
<i>Sebastapistes</i>	34	1.30–4.22	–7.1377	18.7071	0.99
<i>coniorta</i>	18	2.52–4.22	–13.4726	20.9575	0.94
<i>fowleri</i>	6	1.40–1.71	–3.4567	16.0512	0.99
<i>galactacma</i>	10	1.30–2.86	–4.0268	17.0121	0.99
Perciformes					
Cirrhitidae					
Cirrhitidae (<i>Cirrhitops fasciatus</i>)	89	1.70–7.17	–28.1050	33.5819	0.96
<i>Amblycirrhitus bimacula</i>	18	1.70–2.78	–12.4234	27.1491	0.95
<i>Cirrhitus pinnulatus</i>	23	4.01–7.17	–39.9257	36.7757	0.90
<i>Paracirrhitus</i>	43	1.74–5.32	–35.1914	35.2619	0.94
<i>arcatus</i>	18	1.74–3.88	–22.9247	30.0187	0.98
<i>forsteri</i>	25	2.41–5.32	–40.1079	37.5093	0.90
Percoidei					
Apogonidae					
Apogoninae	11	1.34–8.00	–6.8884	14.5747	0.94
<i>Apogon erythrinus</i>	7	1.34–3.56	–1.2863	11.5146	0.99
<i>Pristiapogon (kallopterus, taeniopterus)</i>	4	3.78–8.00	–40.1918	22.5921	1.00
Serranidae					
<i>Cephalopholis argus</i>	67	6.02–10.34	–192.4328	58.6273	0.85
<i>Plectranthias nanus</i>	6	1.86–2.70	7.4999	10.5065	0.99
<i>Pseudogramma polyacanthum</i>	9	0.88–2.96	–0.7907	18.1518	0.99
Carangidae ^d	7	1.56–13.31	144.2950	36.0742	0.78
Lutjanidae					
<i>Lutjanus kasmira</i>	16	7.58–9.97	87.3414	11.1229	0.57
Mullidae	74	2.27–5.14	–78.1329	71.6053	0.90
<i>Mulloidichthys (flavolineatus, vanicolensis)</i>	4	3.12–4.74	–186.6056	94.5660	0.99
<i>Parupeneus (insularis)</i>	70	2.27–5.14	–75.7908	71.3657	0.92
<i>cyclostomus</i>	9	2.37–5.14	–94.5359	78.5276	0.97
<i>multifasciatus</i>	33	2.48–4.13	–67.5846	69.2349	0.91
<i>pleurostigma</i>	7	2.27–4.17	–112.3948	87.3023	0.93
<i>porphyreus</i>	18	2.41–4.63	–84.3878	71.4596	0.97
Chaetodontidae ^e	40	2.32–5.43	–21.7420	33.1154	0.79
<i>Chaetodon^f</i>	31	3.29–5.43	–9.2852	29.1519	0.87
<i>multicinctus</i>	8	3.33–4.01	25.0728	18.8563	0.76
Labroidei					
Labridae					
<i>Bodianus albotaeeniatus</i>	32	2.34–8.32	–28.3960	41.3056	0.96
Cheilinae ^g	4	0.83–2.89	–3.5213	27.0441	0.99
Corinae ^b	70	0.46–5.06	–15.6196	50.4776	0.95
<i>Anampses cuvier</i>	6	2.57–3.84	–58.8524	77.1388	0.99
<i>Coris (flavovittata, gaimard)</i>	15	1.56–4.43	–47.5676	66.9298	0.91
<i>venusta</i>	11	1.69–2.26	–59.0002	72.2014	0.81
<i>Thalassomma</i>	43	0.46–5.06	–12.6037	49.2495	0.97
<i>ballieui</i>	23	2.06–5.05	–13.7798	54.7471	0.92
<i>duperrey</i>	19	0.46–3.53	–7.4138	42.1491	0.99
Pomacentridae	53	1.24–6.75	–13.3273	24.5819	0.92
Chrominae	36	1.24–6.75	–10.9624	23.1550	0.94
<i>Chromis (banui, ovalis)</i>	25	1.24–6.75	–5.5540	20.1414	0.91
<i>vanderbilti</i>	19	1.24–2.47	–2.6341	19.1729	0.93

Appendix 1 (continued)

Taxon	<i>n</i>	Range (mm)	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²
<i>Dacyllus albisella</i>	11	3.57–4.07	−30.1740	29.9936	0.59
Pomacentrinae (<i>Stegastes marginatus</i>)	17	1.76–5.64	−14.5262	26.2209	0.89
<i>Abudefduf abdominalis</i>	8	4.66–5.64	−2.1212	27.4818	0.73
<i>Plectroglyphidodon</i> ⁱ	6	1.76–3.41	9.0694	16.2063	0.84
Scaridae					
<i>Chlorurus (perspicillatus, spilurus)</i>	5	4.63–8.40	−172.2770	74.4305	0.95
Trachinoidei					
Pinguipedidae					
<i>Parapercis schauinslandii</i>	8	2.79–3.68	1.6896	22.3855	0.93
Blennioidei ^j	119	0.23–2.09	7.5063	14.6156	0.47
Blenniidae					
Salariae (<i>Exallias brevis, Cirripectes quagga</i>)	57	0.41–2.09	3.6530	27.6834	0.95
<i>Cirripectes vanderbilti</i>	22	0.79–2.09	−1.2033	30.0014	0.98
<i>Entomacrodus strasburgi</i>	32	0.41–0.85	0.3729	33.7774	0.93
Tripterygiidae					
<i>Enneapterygius atriceps</i>	61	0.30–1.45	5.6105	13.5322	0.94
Gobioidei					
Gobiidae					
Gobiinae (<i>Coryphopterus duospilus</i>)	128	0.26–2.55	4.8242	14.9124	0.73
<i>Eviota (susanae)</i>	86	0.26–0.62	0.7472	24.4057	0.77
<i>epiphanes</i>	79	0.26–0.62	0.6536	24.5277	0.77
<i>Priolepis</i>	11	0.45–0.97	2.5390	14.7486	0.90
<i>eugenius</i>	6	0.45–0.96	3.2763	13.7504	0.84
<i>farcimen</i>	5	0.54–0.97	1.7377	15.8309	0.97
<i>Trimma unisquamis</i>	29	0.44–0.86	1.4097	19.7362	0.84
Acanthuroidei					
Acanthuridae					
Acanthurinae	43	1.43–5.21	−9.3721	29.2571	0.77
<i>Acanthurus</i> ^k	28	2.32–5.08	−23.0534	34.4433	0.61
<i>nigrofusus</i>	7	3.24–4.81	−13.3996	26.6374	0.91
<i>tristegus</i>	12	2.66–3.88	−87.1491	60.8449	0.93
<i>Ctenochaetus strigosus</i>	10	3.80–5.21	−43.8559	33.2044	0.81
<i>Zebbrasoma flavescens</i>	5	1.43–3.88	−30.9091	41.7762	0.97
Tetraodontiformes					
Tetraodontoidei					
Tetraodontidae					
Canthigasterinae					
<i>Canthigaster (amboinensis, coronata)</i>	17	0.76–1.30	−6.7212	56.7368	0.67
<i>jactator</i>	12	0.87–1.24	−7.1636	54.9559	0.75

^a *G. eurostus*, *G. flavimarginatus*, *G. melatremus*, *G. meleagris*, *G. undulatus*.^b *S. dermatogenys*, *S. ulae*, *S. usitatus*.^c *S. diadema*, *S. punctatissimum*, *S. spiniferum*.^d *Carangoides orthogrammus*, *Caranx ignobilis*, *C. melampygus*, *Decapterus macarellus*, *Scomberoides lysan*, *Seriola dumerili*.^e *Forcipiger flavissimus*, *F. longirostris*, *Hemitaenichthys polylepis*, *Heniochus diphreutes*.^f *C. fremblii*, *C. kleinii*, *C. lunula*, *C. lunulatus*, *C. miliaris*, *C. ornatissimus*, *C. quadrimaculatus*, *C. unimaculatus*.^g *Oxycheilinus bimaculatus*, *Pseudocheilinus evidanus*.^h *Gomphosus varius*, *Halicboeres ornatissimus*, *Macropharyngodon geoffroy*, *Pseudojuloides cerasinus*, *Stetbojulis balteata*.ⁱ *P. imparipennis*, *P. johnstonianus*.^j *Enchelyurus brunneolus*, *Plagiotremus ewaensis*, *P. goslinei*.^k *A. leucopareius*, *A. nigroris*, *A. olivaceus*, *A. xanthopterus*.

Appendix 2

Power Functions for Otolith Length (OL) in millimeters versus Total Body Weight (wt) in grams [wt = $a(OL)^b$]

Taxon	<i>n</i>	Range (mm)	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²
Anguilliformes					
Muraenidae					
<i>Gymnothorax</i> ^a	17	1.48–6.03	14.4055	3.0224	0.69
Aulopiformes					
Synodontidae					
<i>Synodus (dermatogenys, ulae, usitatus)</i>	4	0.62–1.09	1.1265	4.7862	1.00
Beryciformes					
Holocentridae (<i>Myripristis berndti</i> , <i>M. kuntzei</i>)	20	4.02–9.66	2.1109	1.9932	0.26
<i>Sargocentron (punctatissimum, spiniferum)</i>	10	4.02–8.85	0.0024	5.6832	0.99
<i>diadema</i>	6	5.32–6.24	0.0446	3.9981	0.71
Gasterosteiformes					
Aulostomidae					
<i>Aulostomus chinensis</i>	11	1.76–2.47	0.8205	7.0540	0.69
Scorpaeniformes					
Scorpaenidae					
Scorpaeninae (<i>Scorpaenopsis cacopsis</i>)	61	1.17–11.95	1.7142	2.9535	0.97
<i>Scorpaenodes (hirsutus)</i> ^b	33	1.17–3.00			0.71
<i>kelloggii</i>	27	1.17–2.47			0.76
<i>Sebastapistes (ballieui, fowleri)</i>	25	1.30–4.22	0.0342	4.5055	0.99
<i>coniorta</i>	9	2.62–4.22	0.0371	4.4469	0.99
<i>galactacma</i>	10	1.30–2.86	0.0602	3.8583	0.97
Perciformes					
Cirrhitidae					
Cirrhitidae (<i>Cirrhitops fasciatus</i>)	65	1.70–7.17	0.2186	3.9380	0.91
<i>Amblycirrhitus bimacula</i>	18	1.70–2.78	0.1509	3.8199	0.82
<i>Cirrhitus pinnulatus</i>	17	4.01–7.17	0.4187	3.5905	0.75
<i>Paracirrhitus</i>	27	1.74–5.32	0.0026	6.7255	0.90
<i>arcatus</i>	6	1.74–3.88	0.2317	3.4181	0.97
<i>forsteri</i>	21	2.41–5.32	0.0024	6.7632	0.87
Percoidae					
Apogonidae					
Apogoninae (<i>Pristiapogon kallopterus</i>)	8	1.34–3.78	0.0603	2.7928	0.98
<i>Apogon erythrinus</i>	7	1.34–3.56	0.0511	2.9434	0.97
Serranidae	73	0.88–10.34	0.0216	4.9885	0.94
Anthuridae					
<i>Plectranthias nanus</i>	6	1.86–2.70	0.0475	3.2849	0.76
Epinephelinae ^d	67	0.88–10.34	0.0216	4.9885	0.94
<i>Cephalopholis argus</i>	57	6.02–10.34	0.0219	4.9821	0.92
Carangidae ^e	7	1.56–13.31	14.5222	2.4626	0.88
Lutjanidae					
<i>Lutjanus kasmira</i>	15	7.58–9.97	0.6549	2.5485	0.40
Mullidae	63	2.27–5.14	0.0584	5.9335	0.85
<i>Mulloidichthys (flavolineatus, vanicolensis)</i>	4	3.12–4.74	0.6340	3.9364	0.94
<i>Parupeneus (insularis)</i>	59	2.27–5.14	0.0603	5.9518	0.91
<i>cyclostomus</i>	9	2.37–5.14	0.0084	7.1835	0.97
<i>multifasciatus</i>	26	2.48–4.13	1.1399	3.7126	0.56
<i>pleurostigma</i>	4	2.27–4.17	0.2976	4.8780	0.99
<i>porphyreus</i>	17	2.41–4.63	0.9420	4.0462	0.81
Chaetodontidae ^f	35	2.32–5.43	0.1968	4.1501	0.91
<i>Chaetodon</i> ^g	27	3.29–5.43	0.1907	4.1700	0.91
<i>multicinctus</i>	8	3.33–4.01	7.1037	1.3805	0.51
Labroidae					
Labridae					
<i>Bodianus alboteniatus</i>	19	4.36–8.19	1.5694	3.1149	0.64
Cheilinae ^b	4	0.83–2.89	0.3264	3.5396	0.98
Corinae ⁱ	54	0.46–5.06	2.0786	3.5165	0.83

Appendix 2 (continued)

Taxon	<i>n</i>	Range (mm)	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>r</i> ²
<i>Anampses curvier</i>	5	2.57–3.84	1.4503	4.2652	0.96
<i>Coris (flavovittata, gaimard)</i>	14	1.56–4.43	5.9694	2.7620	0.81
<i>venusta</i>	10	1.69–2.26	0.5245	4.7119	0.81
<i>Thalassomma</i>	30	0.46–5.06	0.4435	4.5112	0.90
<i>ballicui</i>	18	2.06–5.05	0.6992	4.2145	0.82
<i>duperrey</i>	11	0.46–3.44	3.6409	2.2297	0.85
Pomacentridae					
Chrominae					
<i>Chromis (hanui, ovalis)</i>	23	1.24–6.75	0.9579	2.3661	0.78
<i>vanderbilti</i>	18	1.24–2.47	0.0843	4.1649	0.86
<i>Dascyllus albisella</i>	7	3.57–3.95	0.0651	4.8391	0.73
Pomacentrinae (<i>Stegastes marginatus</i>)	14	1.76–5.64	0.0984	4.3794	0.94
<i>Abudefduf abdominalis</i>	8	4.66–5.64	0.5028	3.4101	0.70
<i>Plectroglyphidodon^j</i>	6	1.76–3.41	0.2636	3.3531	0.97
Scaridae ^k	6	4.63–8.40	6.5119	3.0414	0.85
Trachinoidei					
Pinguipedidae					
<i>Parapercis schauinslandii</i>	7	2.79–3.68	0.0991	3.7647	0.90
Blennioidei ^l	115	0.23–2.09	0.1232	6.2899	0.77
Blenniidae					
<i>Salaria (Exallias brevis, Cirripectes quagga)</i>	57	0.41–2.09	0.2575	5.2060	0.76
<i>Cirripectes vanderbilti</i>	22	0.79–2.09	0.7362	3.1971	0.97
<i>Entomacrodus strasburgi</i>	32	0.41–0.85	0.7141	3.2908	0.87
Tripterygiidae					
<i>Enneapterygius atriceps</i>	57	0.30–1.45	0.1281	2.4773	0.90
Gobioidae					
Gobiinae (<i>Coryphopterus duospilus</i>)	128	0.26–2.55	0.1330	2.3381	0.96
<i>Eviota (susanae)</i>	127	0.26–2.55	0.1333	2.3363	0.96
<i>epiphanes</i>	84	0.26–0.62	0.2772	2.9737	0.54
<i>Priolepis (farcimen)</i>	73	0.26–0.62	0.2655	2.7889	0.76
<i>eugenius</i>	11	0.45–0.97	0.0997	3.2523	0.76
<i>Trimma unisquamis</i>	6	0.45–0.96	0.1099	3.1213	0.88
	30	0.44–0.86	0.1853	3.6008	0.27
Acanthuroidei					
Acanthuridae					
<i>Acanthurus^m</i>	20	2.32–5.08	3.2123	2.4737	0.32
<i>nigrofuscus</i>	6	3.34–4.56	0.3143	3.4034	0.74
<i>tristegus</i>	7	2.66–3.88	0.1381	5.1965	0.76
<i>Ctenochaetus strigosus</i>	9	3.80–5.21	0.4840	3.3061	0.71
Tetraodontiformes					
Tetraodontidae					
Canthigasterinae					
<i>Canthigaster (amboinensis, coronata)</i>	17	0.76–1.30	8.2161	3.3290	0.56
<i>jactator</i>	12	0.87–1.24	7.0020	3.1480	0.58

^a *G. eurostus*, *G. flavimarginatus*, *G. melatremus*, *G. meleagris*, *G. undulatus*.^b $Wt = 0.1150 + 0.0325(OL)^{3.6323}$.^c $Wt = -0.0469 + 0.0602(OL)^{3.2297}$.^d *Liopropoma collettei*, *Pseudogramma polyacanthum*.^e *Carangoides orthogrammus*, *Caranx ignobilis*, *C. melampygus*, *Decapterus macarellus*, *Scomberoides lysan*, *Seriola dumerili*.^f *Forcipiger flavissimus*, *F. longirostris*, *Hemitaenichthys polylepis*, *Heniochus diphreutes*.^g *C. fremblii*, *C. kleinii*, *C. lunula*, *C. lunulatus*, *C. miliaris*, *C. ornatissimus*, *C. quadrimaculatus*, *C. unimaculatus*.^h *Oxycheilinus bimaculatus*, *Pseudocheilinus evidanus*.ⁱ *Gomphosus varius*, *Halichoeres ornatissimus*, *Macropharyngodon geoffroy*, *Pseudojuloides cerasinus*, *Stetbojulis balteata*.^j *P. imparipennis*, *P. johnstonianus*.^k *Calotomus carolinus*, *Chlorurus perspicillatus*, *C. spilurus*.^l *Enchelyurus brunneolus*, *Plagiotremus ewaensis*, *P. goslinei*.^m *A. leucopareius*, *A. nigroris*, *A. olivaceus*, *A. xanthopterus*.

